

REMARKS

This paper is responsive to the Office Action dated April 30, 2007. All rejections and objections of the Examiner are respectfully traversed. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

At paragraphs 1-3 of the Office Action the Examiner rejected claims 1-8 and 1-13 for lacking utility under 35 U.S.C. 101. Amendments to the claims are respectfully believed to meet all requirements of the Examiner in this regard.

At paragraph 4 of the Office Action the Examiner indicated that claims 10-11 should depend from claim 6 instead of cancelled claim 9. Claims 10-11 have been amended accordingly.

As all rejections and objections have been addressed as described above, all pending claims are believed to be allowable, and it is respectfully requested that all rejections and objections of the Examiner be withdrawn.

Applicant has made a diligent effort to place the claims in condition for allowance. However, should there remain unresolved issues that require adverse action, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone Applicant's Attorney at the number listed below so that such issues may be resolved as expeditiously as possible.

For these reasons, and in view of the above amendments, this application is now considered to be in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,

September 19, 2007  
Date

/David Dagg/  
David A. Dagg, Reg. No. 37,809  
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)  
McGuinness & Manaras LLP  
125 Nagog Park  
Acton, MA 01720  
(617) 630-1131

Docket No. 120-433